Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,615	JARVIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian P Mruk	1751	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Brian P Mruk</u> .	(3)		
(2) Alan Bornstein.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 5.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached limitation recited in part d) of claim 5, since a broader recite 5. Accordingly, the limitation recited in part d) of claim 5 with (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT CSummary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant contents.	between the examiner and the tition of this limitation is alreadias formulated into a new deperments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that will.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	e attorney to can y included in par ndent claim 12. reed would render tould render the SUBSTANCE C been filed, APP SINTERVIEW S	cel the t c) of claim the claims claims FTHE LICANT IS UMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Brian 7. Must 5/27/04 Examiner's signature, if required